

Candidate ID#	Patient's Chart #:
Candidate Name:	Tooth #:  Restoration type:
Factor 1: Case presentation –	Comments:
Score [ ]	
[5] – Obtains informed consent; Presents a comprehensive review of medical and dental history; Provides rationale for restorative procedure; Proposes initial design of preparation and restoration; Demonstrates full understanding of the procedure.	
[4] – <u>Slight</u> deviation from optimal case presentation.	
[3] – <u>Moderate</u> deviation from optimal case presentation.	
[2] – <u>Major</u> deviation from optimal case presentation.	
[1] – <u>Multiple</u> deviations from optimal case presentation.	
<ul> <li>[0] - Critical errors -</li> <li>Critical errors in assessing patient's medical and/or dental history.</li> <li>Unable to justify treatment.</li> <li>Proposed treatment would cause harm to patient.</li> <li>Proposed treatment not indicated.</li> <li>Misses critical factors in medical and/or dental review that affect treatment of patient's well being.</li> </ul>	Examiner Signature  Examiner Printed Name  Date
patient's well being.	DR



#### Factor 2: Outline and extensions -

#### Score [ ]

- [5] Optimal outline and extensions <u>such as</u>: Smooth flowing; Does not weaken tooth; Includes the lesion; Breaks proximal contact as appropriate; Appropriate cavosurface angles; Optimal treatment of fissures; No damage to adjacent teeth; Optimal extension for caries; Decalcification; Appropriate extension requests.
- [4] <u>Slight</u> deviation(s) from optimal minimal impact on treatment.
- [3] <u>Moderate</u> clinically acceptable deviation(s) from optimal minimal impact on treatment.
- [2] Major deviations from optimal such as; Irregular outline; Outline weakens the tooth; Does not include the lesion; Contacts not broken where appropriate; Proximal extensions excessive; Inappropriate cavosurface angle(s); Inappropriate treatment of fissures; Adjacent tooth requires major recontouring; Inappropriate extension requests.
- [1] <u>Multiple major</u> deviations from optimal <u>including:</u> Irregular outline; Outline weakens the tooth; Does not include the lesion; Contacts not broken where appropriate; Proximal extensions excessive; Inappropriate cavosurface angle(s); Inappropriate treatment of fissures; Adjacent tooth requires major recontouring; Inappropriate extension requests.

#### Factor 2: Outline and extensions – Continued

#### [0] - Critical errors -

- Critical errors in outline and extensions.
- Deviations from optimal that are irreversible and have a significant impact on treatment.
- Damage to adjacent tooth that requires restoration.

#### **Comments:**

Examiner Signature	
Examiner Printed Name	
Data	

DR



#### Factor 3: Internal form -

#### Score [ ]

- [5] Optimal internal form <u>such as:</u> Optimal pulpal and axial depth; Optimal wall relationships; Optimal axio-pulpal line angles; Optimal internal refinement; All previous restorative material removed; Optimal caries removal; Preparation is clean and free of fluids and/or debris; Appropriate liners and bases; Appropriate extension requests.
- [4] Slight deviation(s) from optimal
- [3] <u>Moderate</u>, clinically acceptable deviation(s) from optimal.
- [2] Major deviation from optimal <u>such as</u>: Excessive or inadequate pulpal or axial depth; Inappropriate wall relationships; Inappropriate internal line angles; Rough or uneven internal features; Previous restorative material present; Inappropriate caries removal; Fluids and/or debris present; Inappropriate handling of liners and bases; Inappropriate extension requests.
- [1] <u>Multiple major</u> deviations from optimal <u>including:</u> Excessive or inadequate pulpal or axial depth; Inappropriate wall relationships; Inappropriate internal line angles; Rough or uneven internal features; Previous restorative material present; Inappropriate caries removal; Fluids and/or debris present; Inappropriate handling of liners and bases; Inappropriate extension requests.

### Factor 3: Internal form - Continued

#### 01 - Critical errors -

• Critical errors from optimal internal form. Noncarious pulp exposure.

#### **Comments:**

Examiner Signature	
Examiner Printed Name	-
 Date	_



#### Factor 4: Operative environment -

#### Score [ ]

[5] – Soft tissue free of unnecessary damage; Proper patient comfort/pain management; Optimal isolation; Correct teeth isolation; Dam fully inverted; Clamp stable with no tissue damage; No leakage; Preparation can be accessed and visualized.

- [4] Slight deviation(s) from optimal.
- [3] <u>Moderate</u>, clinically acceptable deviation(s) from optimal.
- [2] <u>Major</u> deviation from optimal <u>such as</u>: Incorrect teeth isolated; Dam not inverted, causing leakage that may compromise the final restoration; Clamp is not stable or impinges on tissue; Preparation cannot be accessed or visualized to allow proper placement of restoration; Major tissue damage.
- [1] <u>Multiple</u> deviations from optimal <u>including</u>: Incorrect teeth isolated; Dam not inverted, causing leakage that may compromise the final restoration; Clamp is not stable or impinges on tissue; Preparation cannot be accessed or visualized to allow proper placement of restoration; Major tissue damage.

#### [0] - Critical errors -

- Critical errors from optimal in operative environment.
- Gross soft tissue damage.
- Gross lack of concern for patient comfort.

#### Factor 4: Operative environment – Continued

#### Comments:

Examiner Signature	
Examiner Printed Name	

**Date** 

DR



Date

## DENTAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA PORTFOLIO EXAMINATION DIRECT RESTORATION COMPETENCY EXAM

### Factor 5: Anatomical form: -Factor 6: Margins: -Score [ ] Score [ ] [5] - Optimal anatomic form such as: [5] - Optimal margins with no deficiencies or Harmonious and consistent with adjacent tooth excesses. structure; Interproximal contour and shape are proper; Interproximal contact area and position [4] - Slight deviation(s) from optimal. are properly restored; Contact is closed, floss passes through with resistance; Height and [3] - Moderate, clinically acceptable deviation(s) shape of marginal ridge is appropriate. from optimal. [4] – Slight deviation(s) from optimal. [2] – Major deviation from optimal such as: Open margin; Subgingival and/or excess restorative material. [3] - Moderate, clinically acceptable deviation(s) from optimal. [1] – <u>Multiple major</u> deviations from optimal. [2] - Major deviation from optimal such as: Inconsistent with adjacent tooth structure; [0] - Critical errors -Interproximal contour and shape are Critical errors that require restoration to be inappropriate; Height and shape of marginal redone. ridge is inappropriate. Comments: [1] – Multiple major deviations from optimal including: Inconsistent with adjacent tooth structure; Interproximal contour and shape are inappropriate; Height and shape of marginal ridge is inappropriate. [0] - Critical errors - Critical errors that require restoration to be redone. Comments: **Examiner Signature Examiner Signature Examiner Printed Name Examiner Printed Name**

Date

DR



Factor 7: Finish and function: -
Score [ ]
[5] – Optimal finish and function <u>such as:</u> Smooth with no pits, voids or irregularities in restoration; Occlusion is properly restored with no interferences; No damage to hard or soft tissue.
[4] – Slight deviation(s) from optimal.
[3] – <u>Moderate</u> , clinically acceptable deviation(s) from optimal.
[2] – <u>Major</u> deviation from optimal <u>such as:</u> Significant pits, voids or irregularities in the surfaces; Severe hyper-occlusion or hypoocclusion; Moderate damage to hard or soft tissue.
[1] – Multiple major deviations from optimal.
<ul> <li>[0] - Critical errors -</li> <li>Critical errors that require restoration to be redone.</li> <li>Procedure is not completed within allotted time.</li> <li>Unnecessary, gross damage to hard and soft tissue as related to finishing procedure.</li> </ul>
Comments:
Examiner Signature
Examiner Printed Name
Date