



CREDENTIALING COMMITTEE

November 6, 2007

Department of Consumer Affairs
1625 N Market Street, Room S-306-B
Sacramento, CA 95834

Draft Meeting Minutes

Members Present:

Robert Hardesty, MD
Nestor Karas, OMS, MD
Tim Silegy, OMS
Jonathan Sykes, MD
Monty Wilson, DDS

Members Absent: None

Also Present:

Suzanne McCormick, DDS, Board Liaison to Committee
Richard L. Wallinder, Executive Officer
Lori Hubble, Administrative Analyst

Chairperson Tim Silegy called the meeting to order at 3:10 p.m. and established a quorum.

Greg Santiago, Legislative Analyst for the Department of Consumer Affairs was in the audience. He introduced himself to the members of the committee and stated that he will be analyzing legislation for the upcoming year.

Approval of August 13, 2007 Minutes

M/S/C (Karas/Sykes) to approve the minutes as presented.

Application Review Process

Chairperson Silegy stated that Lori Hubble developed application qualification checklists for both pathway A and pathway B to aid applicants in completing their application. The intent is to have this document appear on the Dental Board's web site because there appears to be some confusion in differentiating between the two different pathways.

Member Sykes commented on the fifth listed requirement for Pathway A which states "Submits documentation to the board showing the surgical privileges the applicant possesses at any licensed acute care hospital and any licensed outpatient surgical facility in this state." He interpreted that the applicant must provide documentation of surgical privileges at both a licensed acute care hospital and any licensed outpatient surgical facility. He said the word "and" emphasizes that you must have both. Member Karas stated that the reason 1638.1 (c) (2) (iv) was put into law was to make sure an individual who operated in an office surgery facility had privileges in either an acute care hospital or a surgery center to be able to manage their patient if they should have

complications. McCormick stated that the requirement is asking for the applicants privilege list and that the word and in the sentence means any and all.

Another comment regarding the application check-list for Pathway A was regarding the requirement for the ten operative notes from the residency training or proctored procedures representative of procedures intended to perform from both of the following categories:" Dr. Hardesty said that the way the law is written we would need the operative notes from both category I and II.

DCA Legal Counsel LaVonne Powell stated that the statute must be read in its entirety, not word by word. The law allows for someone to apply for a limited permit. If an applicant were to apply for a limited permit and ask to be able to perform lip augmentation, which is in category II, it would be absurd to require them to provide operative notes from category I cases.

It was decided to table the documents prepared by staff until the next meeting.

The meeting went into closed session at 4:35 p.m.

Future Meeting Dates

M/S/C (Karas/Wilson) to hold the next meeting on Tuesday, January 8, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. at the Dental Board's Tustin office. It was decided that the future scheduled meetings will be determined at the January 8, 2008 Credentialing Committee.

The meeting returned to open session at 4:45 p.m. Dr. Silegy reported that the committee considered three permit applications. The outcome was as follows:

1. One application was approved with no limitations
2. One application was tabled and referred back to staff to request from the applicant more operative reports reflective of the duties asked to perform.
3. One application needs further clarification of hospital privileges. Staff will obtain the information and forward it to the chair Dr. Silegy and one other committee member to be designated by the chair.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.