Licensing Committee Meeting Minutes  
March 10, 2005, San Jose

**Members Present**  
Alan Kaye, DDS  
George SooHoo, DDS

**Members Absent**  
LaDonna Drury-Klein, RDA, Chair

**Staff Present**  
Cynthia Gatlin, Executive Officer  
La Rita Abdul-Rahman, Secretary  
Alan Mangels, Deputy Attorney General Liaison  
LaVonne Powell, Legal Counsel  
Anita Dowty, Staff Services Analyst

In the absence of Ms. Drury-Klein, the meeting was called to order at 11:35 by Dr. Kaye. Roll was taken and a quorum established.

11.1 Approval of minutes

It was m/s/c (Kaye/SooHoo) to accept the minutes of the meeting held January 27, 2005.

11.2 Approval of Extramural Facilities

Valley Mountain Regional Center (VMRC) is a non-profit agency serving the developmentally disabled. Healthy Smiles, Inc. provides services to consumers with developmental disabilities under contract with VMRC.

UOP School of Dentistry requested approval to have Healthy Smiles clinics approved as extramural facilities when developmentally disabled patients under contract with VMRC are treated. After some discussion of the settings where treatment would be rendered under the agreement, and clarification by past board member Dr. Ariane Terlet, of the scope of treatment offered it was m/s/c (Kaye/SooHoo) to approve UOP’s request.

The meeting moved into closed session, followed by a return to public session.

There were no public comments and the meeting was adjourned.
Examination Committee Meeting Minutes
March 10, 2005, San Jose

**Members Present**
George SooHoo, DDS, Chair
Newton Gordon, DDS
Alan Kaye, DDS
Chester Yokoyama, DDS

**Members Absent**
LaDonna Drury-Klein, RDA

**Staff Present**
Cynthia Gatlin, Executive Officer
Richard DeCuir, Assistant Executive Officer
La Rita Abdul-Rahman, Secretary
Alan Mangels, Attorney General Liaison
LaVonne Powell, Legal Counsel

The meeting was called to order by Dr. SooHoo. Roll was taken and a quorum established.

**Agenda Item 9.1 Approval of minutes**

Without discussion, it was m/s/c (Kaye/Yokoyama) to accept the minutes of the January 2005 meeting.

**Agenda Item 9.2 Establish a Policy on Use of Minor Patients for Exams**

Legal counsel LaVonne Powell reiterated concern that when minors are used as exam patients, although a signed parental consent form is presented, there is no way to verify that the person who signed the form has legal authority to give consent.

Dr. SooHoo said that although he agrees on the consent issue, eliminating minors will impact the exam.

Dr. Gordon said public hospitals faced this and in a study found that 50% of consent forms were signed by someone other than the consenting authority. They have since addressed the issue.

Dr. Ariane Terlet, past member of the Board spoke in favor of using minor patients, saying the underserved are using the exam to get dental care and asked if the Board could set age parameters. In further discussion it was pointed out that exam patients need permanent teeth.
Dr. Kaye said risk does not change with the age of the patient. He said he is not concerned about life-threatening situations because action would be taken regardless of consent. He suggested that with the setting of age parameters, the Board might also require consent forms be notarized.

Dr. Earl Johnson spoke in favor of the use of minor patients.

Dr. Gordon suggested requiring parents or guardians be present.

Dr. SooHoo said language should say “minors with permanent dentition” and that there must be “full presence of a legal guardian”. It was m/s/c (Gordon/) to make the change.

**Agenda Item 9.3 Appointment of New Dental Examiners**
This item was tabled to the May meeting.

**Agenda Item 9.4 Review/Approval of Western Regional Examination Board (WREB) Regulation**
There was discussion of the following sections:

§ 1028(b)(3) Two classifiable sets of fingerprints on forms provided by the board with current fee or LiveScan form;

Proposed § 1030.1(b)(3) Two classifiable sets of fingerprints on forms provided by the board with current fee or LiveScan form;

Dr. Gordon asked for clarification of the current fee and after discussion it was suggested the language needs a change to clarify that the “current fee” is the fee required by California Dept. of Justice (DOJ) for processing fingerprints when rolled prints are submitted. The Board collects whatever the "current fee" is, and passes it on to DOJ.

§ 1031. Supplemental Examinations in California Law and Ethics.
Prior to issuance of a license, all applicants shall successfully complete supplemental written examinations in California law and ethics.

It was determined the change to 1031 is not needed.

§ 1021 Fees, Dr. Gordon suggested a language clean-up:

(h) Biennial license renewal --The fee for the biennial renewal of a dental license for licensing periods commencing on or after October 1, 1991 through May 31, 1998, shall be $240. The fee for the biennial renewal of a dental license, for the licensing periods commencing on or after June 1, 1998 shall be $365.

It was m/s/c (Kaye/Gordon) to approve the proposed language with the suggested changes.

There was no public comment and the meeting was adjourned.
Members Present
Alan Kaye, DDS, Chair
David Baron, Public Member
George SooHoo, DDS
Newton Gordon, DDS
Chester Yokoyama, DDS

Members Absent
LaDonna Drury-Klein, RDA
Patricia Osuna, RDH

Staff Present
Cynthia Gatlin, Executive Officer
Richard DeCuir, Assistant Executive Officer
La Rita Abdul-Rahman, Secretary
Alan Mangels, Attorney General Liaison
LaVonne Powell, Legal Counsel

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Kaye. Roll was taken and a quorum established.
Richard DeCuir, Assistant Executive Officer, explained the various positions the Board could take and in turn, gave a synopsis of new legislation of interest to the Board. Board members present but not on the Committee, sat in the audience to listen to the discussions so the bills would not have to be discussed again when the full Board receives the report of this Committee.

Kathy Mudge, California Dental Association (CDA) said that when CDA takes a “support if amended” position, they always provide information on what it is they would like amended and asked the Board to do likewise.

SB 438 (Migden) This bill is nearly identical to one vetoed by the Governor last year, and allows qualified oral and maxillofacial surgeons to obtain a permit from the Board to perform specified elective cosmetic surgical procedures. It was m/s/c (Kaye/SooHoo) to support.

SB 683 (Aanestad) Bill would allow licensure applicants the option of completing a CODA accredited one-year post-doctoral general dentistry program or CODA accredited ADA recognized specialty program in lieu of the clinical exam.

In response to questions from the Board, Mr. Carrolon, CDA, said this is very close to New York’s old law for PGY1 and that CDA supports this bill. Kathy Mudge said the CDA House of Delegates agrees that a 1-year GPR or 1 year residency should suffice for licensure. Dr. Kaye asked if this wasn’t close to specialty licensure and she said it sets the stage for it.
Dr. SooHoo said this bill is great for specialists but disagrees with the 1 year GPR; he has no problem with a 2-year specialty program. He would like to see more studies on the GPR programs as his experience is that many are unsupervised programs.

Dr. Earl Johnson, who runs a graduate program at UCSF said this is licensure by specialty and spoke in opposition to the bill. There was discussion of 1-year programs and formal outcome assessments and Dr. Yokoyama said he doesn’t think there is an evaluation for general dentistry unless the program is a GPR.

It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Yokoyama) to oppose unless amended to remove the GPR requirement.

In further discussion, Ms. Mudge said that with the time and expense of post-graduate specialty programs it is unlikely applicants [for licensure] would do general dentistry. Dr. Johnson said that although he is a specialist he removes teeth and does soft tissue procedures and thinks an applicant should be tested on all aspects of dentistry.

**AB 1077** (Chan) Sponsored by CDA, this bill is modeled after Illinois law which encourages, but does not mandate, documentation of a dental exam by May of each child’s kindergarten, second, and sixth grade school years.

Mr. Carrolon clarified there are no penalties for failing to turn in a screening verification and there is an “opt out” for the parents. Ms. Mudge said the work group for this legislation would have liked to make the exams mandatory.

It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Baron) to support the bill.

**AB 1268** (Oropeza) This bill will amend current law on advertising by dentists. It was m/s/c (Kaye/Baron) to take a watch position and have a representative of the Board attend policy meetings.

**SB 299** (Chesbro) This bill amends current law for licensure by credential and basically allows licensure of an applicant who meets one of the following requirements:

1) completed a post-graduate program within the 7 years preceding the date of application, or
2) provides a copy of a pending contract to practice full time for two full years in certain specified settings, or
3) provides a copy of a pending contract to teach or practice dentistry full time for two full years in an accredited dental education program

Dr. SooHoo expressed his concern for the faculty provision, citing many faculty do nothing “hands-on” yet they would be issued full, unrestricted licenses to practice dentistry. He feels strongly that this is a public safety issue.

Mr. Carrolon said they are trying to remove the 2-year requirement for prior clinical practice to encourage dentists to the under-served settings.

There was discussion of issuing restricted or temporary licenses. It was m/s/c (Yokoyama/Baron)
to oppose unless amended to address the Board’s concerns. After further discussion it was m/s/c to reconsider the Board’s position on this bill.

Dr. SooHoo reiterated that the reason for LBC was to get dentists to serve the under-served, but pointed out that clearly had not happened.

When asked if CDA would be willing to discuss this legislation, Ms. Mudge said CDA feels strongly about reducing the number of years required for licensure without examination and their stance is that taking any exam is enough to qualify for a license in California. Further, CDA believes it is unfair that under current law, an applicant must satisfy a five year requirement, but with the new law to accept the WREB, an applicant may have fewer years of practice elsewhere, take the WREB and be licensed.

It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Yokoyama) to oppose this bill.

**AB 1143** (Emmerson) This bill amends existing law for the issuance of Special Permits by allowing permits for applicants who do not meet the current education and certification requirements. Only five such permits can be issued to faculty at any one time for each dental school.

It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Baron) to watch.

**AB 1386** (Laird) This bill will regulate the administration of oral conscious sedation for patients 13 years and older.

It was m/s/c (Yokoyama/SooHoo) to support and co-sponsor the bill.

**AB 1334** (Salinas) This bill eliminates the need for a prescription from a licensed dentist for an RDHAP to treat patients.

Mr. Carrolon said at the January meeting, the Board voted to not support this bill.

Dr. SooHoo made a motion to oppose; the motion died for lack of a second.

**AB 205** (Ruskin) This bill would require a dentist to mark dentures for identification purposes or obtain written consent to not do so.

Ms. Mudge said CDA opposes the bill because there is already a requirement in the law for marking dentures and believes the written consent provision adds a burden for the dentist.

It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Baron) to oppose. Dr. Yokoyama abstained.

**AB 929** (Oropeza) This bill requires the Dept. of Health Services to educate the public about the health risks and effects of radiation via poster distribution to hospitals and health care facilities.

Mr. DeCuir said that although it appears [in this bill] that physicians and dentists alike are identified as health care providers with the responsibility to educate the public, it is incumbent upon health care facilities and hospitals only and has no direct impact on the Board.
Dr. Kaye spoke in opposition to the bill. It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Yokoyama) to watch.

**AB 966 (Saldana)** This bill requires the Dept. of Health Services to complete three activities with regard to dental amalgam.

1) Establish regulatory standards regarding the discharge of mercury in the process of providing dental and related services.

2) Require that the best available technology be used to ensure that the highest possible percentage of mercury is removed from any wastewater prior to discharge from a facility.

3) An insurance contract for health care coverage, a health care service plan, or a specialized health care service plan contract entered into, amended, or renewed on or after January 1, 2006 shall not deny coverage or reimbursement for the use of alternatives to amalgam based upon the cosmetic aspects of the alternative.

Ms. Mudge said CDA opposes this bill. Dr. Yokoyama spoke in support.

It was m/s/c to support (Yokoyama/Baron). Dr. SooHoo opposed; Dr. Kaye abstained.

**SB 248 (Figueroa)** Mr. DeCuir stated this is the Board’s Sunset Bill and although the existing sunset date is January 1, 2007, in this bill all dates have been removed. He said the board has not yet been contacted by Senator Figueroa’s office regarding issues and requirements in this bill. He said the bill may be used as the mechanism for the creation of a separate hygiene board.

It was m/s/c (Yokoyama/Baron) to watch.

**SB 319 (Chan)** Mr. DeCuir said the legislative intent of this bill appears to restrict certain materials (phthalates and/or bisphenol-A) in products for children three years old or less. He said there was concern that these materials might also be used in a dental office and that is the reason it was included for discussion.

It was m/s/c (SooHoo/Baron) to watch.

**Public Comment**

In referring to all but the first three bills discussed, Dr. Bonnie Morehead said it was not right for the Committee to discuss any legislation without providing copies to those who pay for Board packets to get material prior to the meeting.

Mr. DeCuir said the bills for which there was no information in the packet, were not available to him in time to include them in the packets. He said there will be legislative committee meetings on some bills before the next meeting of the Board in May, and it was necessary for this discussion to take place today.

There was no other public comment and the Committee meeting was adjourned.
Foreign Dental School Ad-hoc Committee Meeting
Thursday, March 10, 2005
San Jose, CA

Minutes

Members Present:
George SooHoo, DDS – Chair
Brandon Hernandez, Public
Kamran Sahabi, DDS

Staff Present:
Cynthia Gatlin, Executive Officer
La Rita Abdul-Rahman, Secretary
Alan Mangels, DAG
LaVonne Powell, Legal Counsel

The meeting was called to order at 1:02 pm and a quorum established.

8.1 It was m/s/c (Sahabi/Hernandez) to approve the minutes of the January 27, as written.

8.2 Update on Manipal, India Trip - Dr. SooHoo will appoint the On-site Inspection Team and the Technical Advisory Group within the next two weeks and submit to staff in order to process the Out of Country Request and Visas.

No public comments were given and the meeting adjourned at 1:10 pm.